



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

109

LPA-2281-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2024

State of Haryana and others

....Appellants

Versus

Arun Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G. Haryana
for the appellants.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

(1) After hearing the learned State counsel and going through the record, we find that through the impugned judgment the learned Single Judge has directed the appellants-State to treat the respondent's suspension period as duty period on the ground that in the criminal case registered against the respondent no prosecution sanction was granted by the State and that the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him were also dropped.

(2) In the light of the above facts, merely because the State through an order dated 11.07.2019 had advised the respondent to be careful in future would not render the impugned judgment erroneous.

(3) Dismissed.

(4) All pending miscellaneous application(s) shall also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

24.09.2024

Sapna Adhikari

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No