

CRM-M-46819-2024

:1:

2024-PHHC-152463



259

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46819-2024

Date of decision:20.11.2024

HARPAL SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate for

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.18 dated 01.03.2024 registered under Sections 419, 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and under Sections 66(C) and 66(D) of I.T. Ac, 2000 at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana, on the basis of compromise.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2- Manjeet Kaur against the petitioners.

3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through her counsel and pleaded that she has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.



4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.18 dated 01.03.2024 registered under Sections 419, 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and under Sections 6(C) and 66(D) of I.T. Ac, 2000 at



Police Station Shimlapuri, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the present petitioners. The cost of Rs.25,000/- imposed by this Court vide order dated 20.09.2024 is paid and the copy of the concerned receipt is taken on record.

20.11.2024
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No