



CRWP-9183-2024

-1-

106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9183-2024

Date of Decision: September 20, 2024

Komal Rani and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Sagar Bathla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing police protection to the petitioners and further directions be issued to respondents No.2 to 5 not to harass the petitioners illegally at the behest of respondents No.6 to 8 and other relatives on account of solemnizing marriage by the petitioners against the wishes of private respondents. It is further prayed to direct respondents No.6 to 8 or anybody else not to interfere into the peaceful married life of the petitioners and not to harass the petitioners illegally or forcibly in any manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.6 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.6 to 8. Their marriage certificate and

**CRWP-9183-2024****-2-**

photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.3.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4-State only.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, District Faridabad, to decide the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

September 20, 2024

meenuss

1. **Whether speaking/reasoned ?**
2. **Whether reportable ?**

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE****Yes/No
Yes/No**