



102+205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-31200-2024 in/and
CRM-M-49187-2023
Date of decision: 08.08.2024

Kulwinder Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the applicant/respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**CRM-31200-2024**

The present application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record documents as Annexure R-2/1 and exemption from filing certified copy of the same.

In view of the averments made in the application, the same is allowed and reply and documents as Annexure R-2/1 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions and exempted from filing certified copy of the same.

CRM-M-49187-2023

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.73 dated 13.09.2023 under Sections 498-A/406/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Tibber, District Gurdaspur.

On 20.10.2023, the following order was passed:-

'This is a petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.73 dated 13.09.2023 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Tibber, District Gurdaspur.\ Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners are the in-laws of complainant-respondent No.2. Learned counsel would further contend that after 20 days of the marriage, the husband of the complainant (son of the petitioners) had

left for America and since then he has not returned. It is further the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are willing to return all the instridhan articles which are alleged to have been entrusted to them.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Let notice be issued to respondent No.2, returnable 18.01.2024.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when called for. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing adequate surety and personal bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by all the terms and conditions as specified in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground of seriousness of the offences.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 20.10.2023 is hereby made absolute. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

08.08.2024

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No