



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CR-5754-2024

Decided on :23.10.2024

Atul Soni

... Petitioner

Versus

Priya

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Manjit Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Mukund Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 01.10.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought adjournment and the case was adjourned to today.
2. Today also, a request for adjournment has been made without circulating adjournment slip.
2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case, counsel for the petitioner is ready to argue the matter.
4. In case, any such application for revival is filed, learned counsel for the petitioner would place on record the subsequent zimni orders passed after 23.05.2024 and would also make specific averment as to whether he has complied with the ad interim order dated 23.05.2024.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

23.10.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No