

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-46710-2024
Date of Decision : **October 23, 2024**

KARAMJIT KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. P.B.S.Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 19.9.2024, this Court had passed the
hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.133 dated 16.7.2024, under Sections 109, 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station City, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in the asking for the relief (supra) inter-alia submits that the only allegation levelled against the present petitioner is that she handed over the revolver to main accused, who happened to be her husband and except that no role has been attributed to the present petitioner. He further submits that the

petitioner has been implicated only to make the offence exaggerate, as she is the wife of the main accused, against whom there are allegations of opening fire shot.

Notice of motion for 23.10.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file reply to the instant petition.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 19.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 23, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No