

2024.PHHC.124158



110.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9083-2024

Date of decision: 19.09.2024

Ramanpreet Kaur and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10 and to restrain them to interfere in their personal lives.

2. It has been averred that date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 03.07.2005 while date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 09.11.2002. Copies of Aadhar Cards of petitioner Nos.1 & 2 to this effect are attached as Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively.

2.1 On asking, except copy of Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1, she has any other proof regarding her date of birth, learned counsel for the petitioners has produced photocopies of her matriculation certificate and

Birth Certificate showing her date of birth as 03.07.2005, stated in the petition. The photocopies of said certificates are taken on record.

3. It has been submitted that the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 13.09.2024. The photographs of marriage and Marriage Certificate are attached as Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively. Private respondents No.4 to 10 had opposed to their marriage, as the petitioners had performed the marriage without their consent. The petitioners have submitted representation dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondent, but no action has been taken thereon.

4. Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage.

5. Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

6. Let a copy of this petition be supplied to the learned State counsel during the course of the day.

7. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in particular the legality of the alleged relationship, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter, take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. Till then,

protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

8. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged relationship between the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation, if any, committed by them.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

September 19, 2024
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No