



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49092-2023
Date of decision : 29.07.2024

JAGRAJ SINGH ALIAS JUDGE

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Saroha, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On 30.10.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of the order dated 18.04.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Judge Special Court, Tarn Taran in case FIR No.128 dated 11.08.2017 registered under Sections 22/61/85 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran vide which the nonbailable warrants of arrest has been issued against the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of noting down of a wrong date, he was unable to appear on 18.04.2023 because of which the impugned order came to be passed. He states that he is ready and willing to join proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 08.12.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today subject to a deposit of Rs.25,000/- as costs with the Chandi Kusht Ashram Society, Shiv Ram Mandir (Leprosy Colony), Sector 47, Opp. 3 BRD Gate,



CRM-M-49092-2023

-2-

Chandigarh and on his doing he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.”

Thereafter, on 08.12.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The Counsel for the petitioner prays for two weeks time to comply with the order dated 30.10.2023.

List on 22.01.2024.

Thereafter, the matter was adjourned from time to time and on 22.04.2024, the following order was passed:-

“This is an application for modification/recalling of the order dated 30.10.2023 to the extent of reducing the costs of Rs.25,000/- to Rs.5,000/- to be deposited in terms of the order dated 30.10.2023.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- as a costs with the Society as mentioned in the order dated 30.10.2023 in terms of the aforementioned order.

This CRM stands disposed of accordingly.”

Despite the passing of the aforementioned orders, the petitioner has refused to surrender though he has deposited Rs.10,000/- before the Trial Court.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

29.07.2024
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No