



CRM-M-46472-2024

1

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46472-2024
Date of Decision : 24.10.2024**

CHARANJIT KAUR

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Satinder Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 18.09.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.37 dated 19.4.2024, under Sections 323, 452, 506, 148, 149, 136, 336 IPC and under Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Meharput, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in the asking for the relief (supra) submits that the injury attributed to the present petitioner is simple in nature. She further submits that it is a case of version and cross-version and infact the dispute is with regard to the property, between the present petitioner and the complainant, who is son-in-law of the petitioner. She also submits that the petitioner has also suffered injury at the hands of complainant party, which is grievous in nature.

Notice of motion for 24.10.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”



CRM-M-46472-2024

2

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by ASI Jaspal Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and she is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 18.09.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

October 24, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No