



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

266

CRM-M-46463-2024  
Date of decision: November 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2024

Balvir Singh Dhaliwal @ Balvir Singh and another  
.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another  
.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate  
for Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.43 dated 30.06.2024 under Sections 307/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Phool, District Bathinda, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 18.09.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 18.10.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned SDJM, Phul, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected



between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned SDJM, Phul, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

November 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2024  
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes

Whether reportable

:

No