

CRWP-9080-2024

:1:

2024-PHHC-123116



**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRWP-9080-2024
Date of Decision:18.09.2024**

RUQAIYA AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Pardeep Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 who are related to petitioner No.1.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents No.4 to 7.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 who is unmarried female aged about 20 years is living with petitioner No.2 a male aged about 25 years, who has already given divorce to his first wife Afsana by mutual consent. That both the petitioners belong to muslim religion and are into live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 who are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and in this regard petitioners have already given representation dated 14.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 i.e.



Commissioner of Police, Sonipat seeking protection to their life and liberty but the police authorities failed to take any action in this regard till date.

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that in case any such representation filed by the petitioners is pending, the same will be considered and decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law, at the earliest.
6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Sonipat to consider and decide representation dated 14.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners in accordance with law, at the earliest.
7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 7 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

18.09.2024
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No