

2024:PHHC:164510



190+193+309-Urgent

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(1) COCP No. 3406 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.12.2024**

Bal Kishan and others Petitioners

Versus

Anand Mohan Sharan, IAS, The Secretary to
Govt. of Haryana, Forest Department, Haryana
Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others Respondents

(2) COCP No. 3434 of 2024 (O&M)

Saheb (since deceased) through his LRs and others Petitioners

Versus

Anand Mohan Sharan, IAS, The Secretary to
Govt. of Haryana, Forest Department, Haryana
Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others Respondents

AND

(3) COCP No. 3742 of 2024 (O&M)

Rattan Singh (since deceased) through his
widow (being the legal representative) Kamla Devi
and others Petitioners

Versus

Anand Mohan Sharan, IAS, The Secretary to
Govt. of Haryana, Forest Department, Haryana
Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate,
for the petitioners (in all cases)

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents (in all cases).

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, submits that out of total nineteen (19) petitioners in the present set of contempt petitions, seventeen (17) have been found entitled for regularization and their appointment letters to this effect shall be issued within a period of four weeks from today alongwith all consequential benefits; whereas two (02) out of nineteen (19), i.e (i) Naresh Singh son of Sh. Balwant Singh (petitioner No. 6 in COCP-3406-2024) and (ii) Raghubir Singh (since deceased) son of Sh. Dhani Ram (petitioner No. 10 in COCP-3742-2024) have not been found entitled for regularization and thus, their claim has been rejected.

[2] In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition any further, however, seeks liberty to challenge the speaking order(s) passed by the respondent(s) in respect of the aforesaid two petitioners, namely, Naresh Singh son of Sh. Balwant Singh and Raghubir Singh (since deceased) son of Sh. Dhani Ram.

[3] **Dismissed as not pressed.**

[4] However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the seventeen (17) petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition(s) and in that eventuality, the erring / concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of each of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

[5] Petitioner-Naresh Singh son of Sh. Balwant Singh and Raghubir Singh (since deceased) son of Sh. Dhani Ram are given liberty to challenge the speaking order(s) rejecting their claim, passed by the respondent(s), as per law.

[6] Rule stands discharged.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

December 10, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>