



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Decided on:-04.11.2024

....Petitioners..

VS.

...Respondents.

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

4. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring /concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/ No