



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

224

COC-3021-2023

Date of decision: 20.05.2024

DARSHAN KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

VIMAL KUMAR SATIA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Harbans Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harjot Singh Bedi, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 16.09.2022 passed in CWP-21269-2022.
2. Short reply by way of affidavit of Vimal Kumar Setia, Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Punjab, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.
3. Separate replies by way of affidavits of respondents No.2 to 4, respectively, filed in the Registry, are taken on record.
4. Perusal of the record shows that speaking order had already been passed to comply with the order passed by the Writ Court. Instead of challenging the said order and claiming the remaining benefits before the Writ Court, the present contempt petition has been filed.



COCP-3021-2023

/2/

5. However, in view of the fact that the respondents have already decided the claim of the petitioner as per the directions of the Writ Court, this Court does not find it appropriate to continue with the present petition, as required under the directions.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

7. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

20.05.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No