



CM-2445-C-2024 in/&
RSA-2843-2014 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CM-2445-C-2024 in/&
RSA-2843-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision :22.05.2024

The Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation
(PUNSUP) and another

...Appellants

Versus

Bharesi Ram

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for for the appellants.

Ms. Anamika Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-2445-C-2024

Present application has been filed for listing the regular second
appeal at an early actual date of hearing.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which
are duly supported by an affidavit, application is allowed. Main regular
second appeal is taken up for hearing on today itself.

RSA-2843-2014

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that in the present
regular second appeal the only grievance of the appellants is that the



CM-2445-C-2024 in/&
RSA-2843-2014 (O&M)

-2-

direction has been given by the lower Appellate Court vide judgment and decree dated 22.01.2014 to consider the claim of the respondent-plaintiff for regularization of his services by keeping in mind the seniority list Ex-P/6 with effect from the date the services of the junior employee have been regularized.

Learned counsel for the appellants further submits that as per the appellant-Corporation, no employee junior to the respondent-plaintiff has been regularized in service prior to the date when the services of the respondent-plaintiff have been regularized and hence, the said direction needs to be modified.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

The grievance being raised by the appellants in the present appeal is without any valid justification. The direction given by the lower Appellate Court is to consider the claim of the respondent-plaintiff for regularization of his services with effect from the date the employee junior of the respondent-plaintiff has been regularized and pass appropriate order and in case, as per the seniority list Ex-P/6, none of the junior employee has been regularized in service, appellant-Corporation should pass an appropriate order keeping in view the direction given by the lower Appellate Court and in case, the services of any employee junior to the respondent-plaintiff have been regularized in service keeping in view the seniority list Ex.P-6, only then, the respondent-plaintiff will be held entitled for the same benefit of regularization.



CM-2445-C-2024 in/&
RSA-2843-2014 (O&M)

-3-

No other arguments has been raised.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances recorded hereinbefore, no ground for interference by this Court is made out and the present regular second appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

May 22, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No