

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-49014-2023

Date of decision: 10.01.2024

Jagjeet

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Nikhil Vats, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.291 dated 23.05.2023 under Sections 323, 452, 308, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Industrial Sector 29, Panipat.

2. On 09.10.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the occurrence in question took place at the spur of a moment on account of a trivial dispute between the parties, who are inter se, closely related being uncle and nephew respectively. It has been further submitted that soon thereafter, the parties, with the intervention of their elders and other family members, sorted out their dispute and arrived at an amicable settlement. It has also been

submitted that a petition for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise has already been filed. Learned counsel submits that in the circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required, however, he is still ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 09.10.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajbir, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 09.10.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

10.01.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No