

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9601-2023

Date of decision : 09.01.2024

SURINDER PAL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Jasroop Kaur Bath (IPS),
ACP, Civil Lines, Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana has
been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 today in Court, which is taken
on record. Relevant part thereof reads as under :

“4. That it is essential to highlight that while the preliminary investigation into the aforesaid complaint submitted by the petitioner is currently ongoing, the petitioner has hitherto not cooperated with the investigating agency, as despite several requests, he had no come forward to record his statement with the investigating officer.

5. That it is crucial to highlight that in the representation (Annexure P-3), the petitioner has not furnished specific details regarding the date, time, and contents of the alleged threats made by Respondent No. 5 and alleged threats made by the official Respondents No. 3 and 4. Furthermore, the petitioner has not cooperated in the preliminary investigation, by not coming forward to record his statement before the investigating officer. Instead, the petitioner has chosen to approach the Hon'ble High Court, making false allegations regarding the inaction of the investigating agency concerning the complaint submitted by

him.”

CRWP-9601-2023

-2-

2. In view thereof the petitioner is directed to cooperate with the authorities and this Court is quite sanguine that the authorities shall take action in accordance with law.

3. Disposed off accordingly.

January 09, 2024

Dpr

(Pankaj Jain)

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No