

CRM-M-48930-2023
Date of decision: 10.01.2024

Rahul	Petitioner
Versus	
State of Haryana	...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.286 dated 28.06.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323/324/506 of IPC (Section 326 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa, Haryana.

The learned State counsel filed reply dated 04.01.2024 by way of an affidavit of Ms. Deepti Garg, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, District Sirsa, on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place in the file.

On 04.10.2023, the following order was passed:-

'Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that other three coaccused have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court and submitted that even otherwise also the matter has been compromised between the parties and regarding which an affidavit has also been attached as Annexure P-4 with the present petition.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and prays for some time to seek instructions and to file affidavit in the present case.

Mr. L.K. Narang, Advocate has caused appearance and filed

his Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant which is taken on record. He states that it is correct that the compromise has been effected between the parties and prays for some time to file reply in the present case.

Adjourned to 10.01.2024.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to co-operate fully with the investigation process and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let respective replies be filed before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Jai Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 04.10.2023 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

10.01.2024
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No