

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21742 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.02.2024

Karan Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

Appellate Authority, Punjab Warehousing Corporation and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
with Mr. Raman Kaplish, Advocate
and Mr. Lovedev Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing respondent No.1 to decide the statutory departmental appeal dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) along with application for stay dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-3) filed against the punishment order dated 22.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2 in a time bound manner as stipulated under the Service Rules read with Instructions dated 03.03.1997 (Annexure P-5) and further to set-aside the order dated 22.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for deciding the appeal dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) as well as the application for stay dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that he has no objection if the time bound directions are issued to the Appellate Authority to consider and decide the appeal and the application for stay, filed by the petitioner.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.
5. Respondent No.1 – Appellate Authority is directed to decide the appeal dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) as well as the application for stay dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order expeditiously preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
6. Since the statutory appeals and application for stay, filed by the petitioner are pending and yet to be decided, it will be in the interest of justice that no further recovery be effected from the petitioner.
7. Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

15.02.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No