



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-23935-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.12.2024

SURINDER SINGH JOSHI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF UT CHD AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaivir Chandail, Additional Standing Counsel with
Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Jr. Panel Counsel
for respondent-U.T. Chandigarh.
(Through Video Conferencing)

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CM-18612-CWP-2024

Application is allowed as prayed for and accompanying
Annexures P-1 to P-6 are taken record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

CWP-23935-2024

Prayer in the present writ petition is for directing the
respondents to clarify as to whether the East Punjab Urban Rent
Restriction Act, 1949 as extended to U.T. Chandigarh is the enforceable
statute or the Punjab Act, 1995 stands extended.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-

**CWP-23935-2024****-2-**

U.T. Chandigarh submit on instructions that the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 had been extended to respondent-U.T. Chandigarh by an Act of the Parliament and that there has been no such act that has been passed by the Parliament to extend the operation of Punjab Act of 1995 to U.T. Chandigarh. He further submits that model tenant laws have thereafter been circulated by the Union of India for seeking comments from appropriate Governments and that no such final decision has been taken thereupon so far. The East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 as extended to U.T. Chandigarh is thus the applicable statute to the rent proceedings in Union Territory, Chandigarh.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 09, 2024*Vishal sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No