

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-29130-2024

Date of Decision :22.11.2024

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanawar Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Standing Counsel with
Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, and Mr. Karanvir Singh, Advocates
for the respondent/U.T-Chandigarh.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that proper and fair investigation is not being done as despite the fact that offending vehicle has been seized but no one has been arrested or identified who was driving the said vehicle, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner and hence, an appropriate direction be issued that the investigation in the present case be done by some higher official so that justice should prevail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Standing Counsel with Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, and Mr. Karanvir Singh, Advocates accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-U.T/Chandigarh and submits that appropriate investigation needed in the present case will be done and if required, the same will be done by some senior official.

CWP-29130-2024

-2-

Learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondent-U.T./Chandigarh further submits that the investigation will be taken to the logical end within a period of 08 weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and hence, the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

November 22, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No