





made by the petitioner. It has also been submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to the authorities concerned to take appropriate steps on the complaint moved by the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural, is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

18.09.2024  
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No