



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-24108-2024

Date of decision: 20.09.2024

TEHLA @ TEHAL SINGH AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioners are squarely covered by Division Bench judgment of this Court in “*Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab*” in *CWP No.2371 of 2010* and judgment of Co-ordinate Bench in “*Sukhjeet Singh and others Vs. The State of Punjab and others*”, *CWP No. 9936 of 2017*.

3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of judgments of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)*, the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of judgment of this Court

CWP-24108-2024

-2-

in *Harbans Lal and Sukhjit Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

20.09.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No