

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-48791-2023
Date of Decision:19.04.2024

MANGDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Joginder Pal Devgan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Kuldeep Singh.

Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate
for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 10.10.2023, following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.17, dated 28.03.2022, under Sections 379-B(2) of IPC, registered at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar Rural.

As per allegations, on 27.03.2022, complainant Ravi Kumar along with his brother Raju Mukhia, Dral Mukhia and Manoj Mukhia had gone to Adda Ramdiwali Muslamana, when at about 9 p.m., three persons came on motorcycle and at the point of datar snatched ₹35,000/- and Redmi mobile phone. The complainant came to know the snatchers to be Mangdeep Singh, (petitioner No.1) Stinder Singh @ Bhinder (petitioner No.2) and Nishan Singh @ Gunga.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners have been falsely implicated; that the matter has since been compromised amongst the parties. Attention is drawn towards the order dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in CRM-M-32964-2023, as per which quashing of FIR in question has already been filed by the petitioners on the

basis of compromise. Copy of the compromise dated 01.03.2023 is Annexure P-4.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Adjourned to 22.01.2024 for filing status report.

To be heard along with CRM-M-32964-2023. In the meantime, petitioners are directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. They will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 10.10.2023 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

19.04.2024

pry

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No