

**CWP-23638-2024****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(121)****CWP-23638-2024****Date of Decision : September 17, 2024****Jatinder Singh****.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI****Present: Mr. Pankaj Mohan Kansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.****Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.****HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.11.2007 after which date, by a notification issued in the year 2009, the pay of the petitioner was revised w.e.f. 01.01.2006 and therefore, the respondents were under an obligation to revise not only the pay of the petitioner from 01.01.2006 but even the pensionary benefits were to be revised on the basis of revised pay, which benefit has not been given to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 30.04.2024 (Annexure P-15) which is

**CWP-23638-2024****2**

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 30.04.2024 (Annexure P-15) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 17, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No