



CWP-23766-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23766-2024**Date of decision: 18.09.2024**

Raj Kumar Saini

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Bhunesh Lakhera, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A Mandamus is prayed for, commanding the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for allotment of a site/plot under the 'Oustees Quota'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to a notification dated 06.01.2006, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, landholding of the petitioner, comprised in specific khasra numbers, situated in Sector 5-7, Rewari, was acquired for a public purpose, i.e. development and utilization of land as residential/commercial Sector 67, Rewari. Thus, he asserts that in terms of the policy in vogue and per the entitlement of the petitioner, he is required to be allotted a suitable site/plot. However, a considerable time has elapsed, but the authorities have failed to allot a suitable site/plot to the petitioner, to date. So much so, even the representation dated 21.05.2024 (P-6), the respondent authorities have been served with, has failed to evoke any response. Thus this petition.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vaneet Soni, Advocate, appearing for Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that in the given circumstances, it would rather be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority, which is



alleged to be in seisin of the matter, to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner and pass necessary orders on his representation (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall be heard, for which a formal communication shall also be issued to him, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful, within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

18.09.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No