

CRM-M-46689-2024
Date of decision: 24.10.2024

...PETITIONER

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Varshit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas Dhoulra, Advocate for
Mr. Rishab Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.675 dated 18.08.2021 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal City (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.12.2022 (Annexure P-2).
2. The following order was passed on 19.09.2024 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.675 dated 18.08.2021 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal City (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.12.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 24.10.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Rishab Garg, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.



Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.675 dated 18.08.2021 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal City (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

October 24, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- (i)

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No
- (ii)

Whether reportable

Yes/No