

[205] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48673-2023
Date of Decision : 08.01.2024

Seema Kaur ...Petitioner
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Status report by way of affidavit dated 08.01.2024 of Shri Sukhninder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur, on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record.

[2] On 04.10.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present:- Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.81 dated 24.05.2023 registered under Sections 21 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1984, registered at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

As per prosecution allegations, 30 gms of Heroin was recovered from the possession of co-accused-Harpal Kaur, who in her disclosure statement nominated Binder Singh to have supplied 80 gms.of Heroin and further stated that she (Harpal Kaur) had sold 50 gms of Heroin to the petitioner.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR; she was not arrested from the spot; petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation and abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Learned counsel further contends that coaccused Binder Singh, the alleged supplier of the

contraband has already been granted the benefit of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 29.08.2023 passed in CRM-M-31010-2023.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Adjourned to 08.01.2024 for filing status report.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[3] Today, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balraj Singh, has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 04.10.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[4] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 04.10.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, she shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[5] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

08.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No