



130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8986-2024**

**Date of Decision: September 16, 2024**

**PRIYANKA AND ANOTHER**

**....Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**....Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondent Nos.4 and 5 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners.

2. Learned State counsel has produced statement of private respondents which are in Punjabi language to the effect that there is no threat perception.

3. In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not wish to press the instant petition as having been rendered



infructuous since respondent Nos.4 and 5 have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and prays for withdrawal of the same.

4. Hence, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

16.09.2024  
Sangeeta

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |