



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223

CRM-M-46141-2024

Date of decision: 05.12.2024

Chanderpal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Jagdish Singh Mahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.0087 dated 16.06.2024 under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar.

2. On 17.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is the father of the prime accused. There are no allegations levelled in the FIR in question that any amount of money much less via any negotiable instrument was made into the account of the petitioner. It has still further been submitted that it is the son of the petitioner, who had allegedly lured the



CRM-M-46141-2024

complainant into parting with an amount of ₹18,59,900/- on the pretext of sending the son of the complainant abroad.

On a pointed query as to whether the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, he has replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 17.09.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurpartap Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 17.09.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

05.12.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No