



CRM-M-46079-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(212)

CRM-M-46079-2024
Date of Decision : 22.10.2024

Sandeep ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 16.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.352 dated 18.06.2024, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 147, 148, 149 of the IPC (Sections 325 and 326 of the IPC added subsequently), registered at P.S. Hansi City, District Hansi.*
- 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, the petitioner has clean antecedents and no specific injury has been attributed to him. The root cause behind false implication of the petitioner in the present FIR is a property dispute between the parties. Moreover, two of the petitioner’s co-accused, namely, Anoop and Gurdeep have already been granted the relief of regular bail by the learned trial Court concerned.*
- 3. Notice of motion for 22.10.2024.*



4. Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 16.09.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 22, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No