



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(287) CRM-M NO.46177-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 29.10.2024

Hakam Singh and anotherPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM HON’BLE MS.JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present Ms. Khushika Setia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

KIRTI SINGH J, (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.48 dated
15.06.2019, under Sections 452, 323, 325, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC
registered at Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala along with all
consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated
05.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and also gone through the
case file.
3. This Court vide order dated 17.09.2024, directed the parties to
appear before the Illaqa Magistrate / trial Court for recording their statements
with regard to the compromise.
4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 07.10.2024 has been
received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rajpura. A perusal of the said



report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled between them and they have no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion.

5. The Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

6. Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the case of Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends



of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.
xxx xxx xxx. ”

7. In view of the afore-referred judgments, perusing the report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioners and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing the FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

8. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.48 dated 15.06.2019, under Sections 452, 323, 325, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala and all other consequential proceedings are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of the compromise dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-2), **subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited in Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh.**

29.10.2024
mamta

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No