



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8982-2024

Date of Decision : **13.09.2024**

SHAHEED

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuing a *mandamus* upon the official respondents directing them to protect the life, liberty and property of the present petitioner, at the hands of respondent no.5.
2. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact the instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.
3. Faced with the above difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the instant petition, however, with liberty reserved to the petitioner to recourse with an

alternative available statutory remedy(ies) before the appropriate authority/forum concerned, for redressal of his grievance.

4. **Dismissed as withdrawn** with the aforesaid liberty.

September 13, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No