

2024:PHHC:124162



106 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9114-2024 (O&M)
DECIDED ON:19.09.2024

RAMA SHARMA AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.
2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners are apprehending threats to their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and in this regard they have already submitted a representation dated 06.09.2024 (Annexure P-8) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, UT Chandigarh, but till date no action has been taken. He would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion to official respondents, only at this stage.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sarvjit Singh, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. A complete copy of the paper-book be handed over to him during the course of the day.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, Senior Superintendent of Police, UT Chandigarh, is directed to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated 06.09.2024 (Annexure P-8) and to decide the same by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The order so passed be conveyed to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

6 In the afore-said direction, the present petition stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

19.09.2024

Sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>