



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.47275 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th December, 2024

Satnam Singh & another
... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Goel, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of bail under Section 482 BNSS in case FIR No.86 dated 17.05.2024 under Sections 420/120-B of the IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014 registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 23.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that it is a matter of record that the petitioners had disowned their son way back in the year 2016 and thereafter had nothing to do with him and his wife who are

the main accused in the present case. Furthermore, it has been submitted that not even a single penny was deposited in the account of the petitioners by the complainant; all the allegations were of cash transactions between the complainant and the petitioners.

On a pointed query as to whether the petitioners are involved in any other criminal case, learned counsel has categorically replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 23.09.2024, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karnail Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.09.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioners misuse the concession of bail granted to them, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to them.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No