

294

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 46485 of 2024

Date of Decision: 16.12.2024

Nishan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Monika Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
71	22.05.2015	Chattiwind, District Amritsar	364, 120-B IPC (Section 341, 323 IPC added later on)

Seeking quashing of FIR captioned above, the petitioners have come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.

2. State counsel submits that there are other LR's who are not arraigned as party respondent and they are necessary party if the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR based on compromise.

3. This Court cannot quash the FIR simply because one of the LR is interested to compromise the matter.

4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to file fresh in case all the legal representatives of the victim are in agreement for compromise. All pending applications, if any also stands disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

16.12.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.