

**CWP-23729-2024 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(122)****CWP-23729-2024****Date of Decision : September 18, 2024****Arvinder Singh and others****.. Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, with
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate, for
Mr. H.S. Bath, Advocate, for respondent-University.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the contract of other employees have been extended but due to the pendency of the FIR against the petitioners, the contract of the petitioners has not been extended so as to cause discrimination to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners were only claiming their entitled benefits though, it could be a possibility that the procedure adopted by them was not in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners only intend to approach the respondent-University to take a sympathetic view keeping in view the fact that the matter has already been resolved so that livelihood of the petitioners is not adversely affected.



CWP-23729-2024 2

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-University.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners, the same will be considered in a sympathetic manner and appropriate speaking order on the said representation will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found that the petitioners can be allowed to continue in service, they will be allowed otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-University, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

8. Ordered accordingly.

September 18, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No