

2024:PHHC:123841



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.102

CRM-M-46256-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.09.2024

Jaspreet Singh Petitioner
VERSUS
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. J.P. Ratra, Advocate, for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Apprehending arrest in case FIR No.113 dated 09.08.2024, under Sections 118(2), 118(1), 115(2), 191(3) & 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is alleged that the petitioner along with other co-accused caused injuries with a datar on the body of the complainant. He submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the injuries attributed to the petitioner are on the non-vital part of the body.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Served with advance copy of the petition, Mr. Vinay Kumar, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has opposed the present petition.
5. Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his vakalatnama in Court. The same is taken on

2024:PHHC:123841



record. He submits that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. Learned State counsel has supplied a copy of the MLR and as per the MLR, there are 06 injuries attributed to the petitioner and one injury has been declared grievous in nature. He further submits that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious in nature, hence, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

7. Heard the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

8. Considering the fact that there are serious allegations leveled against the petitioner and as per the MLR, 06 injuries are attributed to the petitioner and one injury has been declared grievous in nature. To unearth the true dimensions of the alleged crime, the police requires custodial interrogation of the petitioner in this case.

9. In view of the afore-mentioned facts and circumstances of the case as well as submissions made by the counsel for the State, this Court does not deem it appropriate to interfere in the matter so as to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

10. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

19.09.2024
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No