



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

125

CWP-23663-2024

Date of decision: 28.10.2024

Parveen Kumar and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Nikhil K. Vashisht, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G. Haryana.


DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panchkula-respondent No.3 to take a decision on the representations dated 11.06.2024, 12.06.2024 and 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) made by the petitioners.

(2) Learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is accepted.

(3) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the aforesaid representations made by the petitioners, by passing speaking order(s) and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a

copy of this order.



(4) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, the petitioners would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

28.10.2024
Sapna Adhikari

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No