



CRWP-8931-2024

-1-

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8931-2024
Date of Decision: September 13, 2024

Sonia and another
.....Petitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Ram Pal Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.
.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and are living together against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 7. The petitioners have submitted a representation, dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.
3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.



5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, Haryana to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in-relationship.

September 13, 2024

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?
- 2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No