

**CRWP-8935-2024****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****111****CRWP-8935-2024****Date of Decision : September 13, 2024****VISHNU DEV AND ANOTHER****-PETITIONERS****V/S****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****-RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Kirpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab.

*********KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)**

1. Through the instant petition, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon the official respondents, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents, and, also to restrain the said respondents from harassing them or interfering in their married life.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that since both the petitioners have attained the minimum age, as prescribed by statute, for solemnizing marriage, therefore, they have lawfully solemnized their first marriage, however, it has caused grievance to the private respondents. Such grievance of the private respondents has made them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, it has constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty. The photographs evidencing marriage of the petitioners are available on record as Annexure P-3.

**CRWP-8935-2024****2**

The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) to the respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondent(s) only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondent(s).
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their lives and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order shall not be understood to have expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of accordingly.

September 13, 2024
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No