



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 23633 of 2024

Date of Decision : 24.09.2024

Hardev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been granted the promotional benefit of higher pay scale w.e.f. 01.11.2006 after rendering 14 years of service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the present petition has been filed after a delay of 18 years but in case, the petitioner is found entitled for the benefit, the arrears can be declined to him but not the benefit, hence, the respondents are under obligation to consider the said claim of the petitioner with regard to the grant of the said benefit.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 10.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 10.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 24, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No