



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

250

RSA-533-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.10.2024

Sukhdev Singh and others Appellants

versus

Didar Singh Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: None.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. No one appears on behalf of the appellant. Same was the case on the last date. Rather it is discernible from the record that after notice of motion was issued on 25.07.2018, none has caused appearance. Thereafter, on 04.02.2020, following order was passed which still awaits compliance:-

“As per the service report, notice to sole respondent could not be issued since the address of the respondent is stated to be incomplete.
Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to furnish the fresh and correct address of the sole respondent within a period of two weeks. On his doing so, let fresh notice to sole respondent be issued for 18.05.2020.”

2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

15.10.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No