

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21898-2023

Date of decision: 10.01.2024

BIJENDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Rajeev K. Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to count the daily wage service rendered by the petitioner for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits/CPF.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by Division Bench judgment of this Court in ***Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab in CWP No.2371 of 2010.***

3. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in ***Harbans Lal*** (supra), the respondent authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioner in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in ***Harbans Lal*** (supra) and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statements of both side, the present petition stands disposed of.

10.01.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No