

2024:PHHC:122156-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(111)

CWP-23527-2024

Decided on : 17.09.2024

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Fateh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J.(Oral)

1. Challenge in the present writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 23.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) whereby the petition under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, filed by the petitioner, has been ordered to be adjourned-sine-die.

2. A perusal of the paperbook would go on to show that there are two civil suits pending before the Civil Court, Kurukshetra whereby status quo has been directed to be maintained inter se respondents No.4 & 5 and the respondent No.6-Gram Panchayat. It is, in such circumstances, the Assistant Collector has adjourned the proceedings sine-die, with liberty to revive the case after the decision of the Court.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the order was passed by the Civil Court way back on 06.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) and it transpires during the course of the arguments that the present petitioner is defendant no.5 in both the civil suits and thus, it is always open to the petitioner to file appropriate application before the concerned Court to get an order of status quo vacated.

4. Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner does not press the present writ petition and prays for liberty to avail his remedy, in accordance with law.

5. We order accordingly.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

17.09.2024
Sailesh

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No