



CRM-M-45849-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45849-2024
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

JAGDEEP SINGH AND ORSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
223	22.12.2020	323, 324, 452, 148, 149 IPC (charge under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC were framed vide order dated 09.02.2023)	Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur



CRM-M-45849-2024


-2-

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur, vide report dated 26.09.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 16.09.2024, an amount of Rs.10000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In these circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 223 dated 22.12.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148, 149 IPC



CRM-M-45849-2024 -3-

(charge under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149 IPC were framed vide order dated 09.02.2023), registered at P.S. Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

30.09.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |