



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

309

**CRM-M No.45508 of 2024
Date of decision: 12.11.2024**

Ranjit Singh and another

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Bir Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Rahul Kumar Prashar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0045 dated 07.07.2023 under Sections 323, 325, 34 and 452 of the IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Kotli Surat Mallian, Police District Batala and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 03.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 12.09.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 04.10.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, in pursuance of the directions of this Court,



CRM-M No.45508 of 2024

-2-

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements (in original) of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

November 12, 2024
poonam

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No