



129

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-W-1146-2024 in/and CRWP-8890-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.09.2024

Ashwarya Saroye and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Abhishek K. Kathpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Ashwarya Saroye and Harwinder Kumar have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, who are family members of petitioner No.1.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 16.04.1998. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 19.01.1995. They had performed marriage on 06.09.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Gurudwara Sri Guru Nanak Isher Puri Sahib Ji, Jail Road, near Morinda Bus Stand, Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab is Annexure P-3 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage

without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No.1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 7.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family/relatives of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7 It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

12.09.2024

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No