



CRM-M-45626-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(209)

CRM-M-45626-2024
Date of Decision : 22.10.2024

Manjit Kaur @ Manjeet Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 13.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.91 dated 13.07.2024, under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. City Samana, District Patiala.*
- 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, earlier also a complaint containing allegations alike to the ones voiced in the present FIR was filed, however, after conducting inquiry thereon, the same was consigned. He further submits that the offences, whereunder the present FIR has been registered, are punishable upto 07 years, therefore, without compliance of Section 41-A of the Cr.P.C., the investigating agency is hell bent to arrest the petitioner.*
- 3. Notice of motion for 22.10.2024.*



4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 13.09.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 22, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No