



125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8922-2024

Date of Decision:- 13.09.2024

Samridhi Bajaj and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sikandh Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Samridhi Bajaj and Kuwar Aditya have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondent No. 2 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. Perusal of petition shows that both petitioners are at present residents of Sikraul, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh. Whereas, respondents No. 4 and 5 from whom petitioners are apprehending threat are residents of Haridwar, Uttarakhand. So far as respondent No. 6 is concerned, he is resident of Brahmpuri Khanna. However, the fact remains the same that both petitioners are residents of Sikraul, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh.

3. Facing with aforesaid factual position, at this stage, learned counsel for petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

4. In view of above, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.
5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

13.09.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No